Mycoplasma synoviae and Reovirus: (re)emerging infectious diseases in broiler breeders
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ABSTRACT. Broiler breeders are one of the most important components of the poultry industry. This type of birds is susceptible to several agents that interfere with the immune system and predispose to infection. If transmission of pathogens to progeny is considered, their economic impact will be amplified in the broiler farms, compromising the entire production results. Construction of multi-age farms poses a significant epidemiological risk. In fact, these farms have grown in size and density, and an ideal environment has been created for agents such as Mycoplasma synoviae and Reovirus to thrive. A general review of the scientific literature concerning M. synoviae and Reovirus in broiler breeders is presented on their epidemiology, economic importance, pathogenesis, lesions, clinical signs, diagnosis, control, treatment and prevention.
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INTRODUCTION

Broiler breeders stay long periods in the rearing and production sites. This means that they are susceptible to several agents that interfere with the defense system and predispose to infection. Infections are very often apparently subclinical, but still induce damage in the infected hosts and may cause immunosuppression (Feberwee et al., 2008). If transmission of these pathogens to progeny is considered, the economic impact will be amplified to the broiler farms, compromising the overall production results (Kleven, 2003; Stipkovits et al., 2011). The increasing construction of multi-age farms (farms with birds of different ages) (Figure 1) poses a significant epidemiological risk. Although very strict hygiene rules are being implemented, poultry farms built in the latest years are designed mainly based on an economical perspective. Very rarely is disease prevention a primary consideration. The consequence is that farms have grown in size and density, and an ideal environment was created for agents as *Mycoplasma synoviae* and *Reovirus* to thrive (Marois et al., 2005). It is necessary to determine new and more effective strategies to reduce losses due to these and other agents (Kleven, 2003). Economic losses increase every day, including leg pathology reports, day-old chick quality decreases and slaughter condemnations (Catania et al., 2010; Landman and Feberwee, 2001).

*Mycoplasma synoviae* in broiler breeders

*Mycoplasma* spp. are widespread in nature as pathogens or commensals of eukaryotic hosts. These organisms are very small prokaryotes devoid of cell walls and bounded by a plasma membrane only (Kleven, 2003; Vogl et al., 2008). They were first isolated from chickens in 1935 (Nelson, 1936) and were later identified as avian mycoplasmas. Infections with *M. synoviae* have been reported in recent years as endemic in the poultry industry of many countries worldwide, where they cause considerable economic losses to heavy breeders, broilers and layers. As a testimony to the *Mycoplasma*’s resilience and adaptability, they continue to cause considerable economic losses to the poultry industry. The failure to eradicate *M. synoviae* from commercial poultry flocks has been largely due to the ability of these organisms to establish lifelong infections and to spread by horizontal and vertical transmission among their hosts (McAuliffe et al., 2006). The success of this fragile organism in infecting poultry flocks throughout the world indicates that evolving poultry management practices have facilitated the survival and transmission of this agent (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013).

Aetiology and Economic importance

Several species of the genus *Mycoplasma* are pathogens of mammals, birds, reptiles, fish and arthropods, causing a wide variety of diseases and having a predilection for the respiratory and the genital tracts as well as to joints (Vogl et al., 2008). *Mycoplasma synoviae* is a species of the class Mollicutes and was...
If infection occurs during the grow-out phase, it will depend on the virulence of the M. synoviae strain. The majority of all other infections may or may not follow the acute phase at any age and persist for the entire life of the flock. The former can be present in the respiratory tract of infected chickens for 4 weeks and during that time the spread between houses occurs (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Natural infection can be observed from the first week of age, but acute infection is more often seen when chickens are adult. This fact suggests that the incubation period can be relatively short, but it generally lasts for 11-21 days. Chronic infection may or may not follow the acute phase at any age and persist for the entire life of the flock (Kleven, 2003). Therefore, M. synoviae contaminated environment is a potential hazard to birds. Mycoplasma synoviae is also well known for its interactions with other infectious agents and environmental factors alike in producing clinical disease. Control of clinical manifestations is simplified when concurrent infections are minimized and optimal environmental conditions are provided. Respiratory infections are considerably designated as serotype S by Dierks et al. (1967). In general, Mycoplasma spp. is characterized by their small genome size and is thought to have undergone reductive evolution, losing many genes possessed by more complex bacteria in the process. They also lack many genes, including those for cell wall synthesis and for the production of all 20 amino acids, as well as genes encoding enzymes of the citric acid cycle and the majority of all other biosynthetic genes. Presumably, they can survive with a reduced genome as they have evolved in such a way as to acquire these products from their host in vivo (McAuliffe et al., 2006). This accounts for the “fried egg” type of colony morphology, resistance to antibiotics that affects cell wall synthesis, and complex nutritional requirements. Mycoplasmas tend to be quite host specific, some infect only a single species of animal, but others may have the ability to infect several different animal species (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). In many laboratories, the identification of M. synoviae is based on typical colony and morphology, requirements for growth, biochemical characteristics and serological reactions. The complete genome sequence of a strain of M. synoviae is already available, and genomes of other strains for comparison are likely to become assessable in a near future. The available information indicates a single serotype. There is considerable variation among field isolates. Sometimes they appear to be low virulent strains with mild clinical signs and other times field reports of M. synoviae high virulent strains (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013).

Mycoplasma synoviae is responsible for infectious synovitis and causes economic losses because of decreased egg production, growth and hatchability rates, and downgrading of carcasses at slaughter due to airsacculitis and arthritis lesions (Marois et al., 2005; Peebles et al., 2011). Fertile eggs have a reduced hatchability due to late embryonic mortality. Mycoplasma synoviae infection is usually subclinical in broiler breeders, but the fact that it might play an important role in an offspring complex respiratory disease has motivated breeding companies to consider eradication (Fiorentin et al., 2003). In heavy breeders, the main problem associated with M. synoviae infection is generally a 5-15% decline in egg production. The effect on the quantity and quality of egg production will depend on the virulence of the M. synoviae strain, the degree of stress on the birds and the time of infection. If infection occurs during the grow-out phase, it will typically result in minimal losses; whereas an infection during egg production, especially during the peak of production, will cause a dramatic decline in egg production. In most cases, the egg production will recover but remain below the standard curve (Stipkovits and Kempf, 1996). The clinical and economic relevance of M. synoviae seems to be increasing considering the number of publications worldwide and the emergence of strains affecting eggshell quality (Figure 3) and egg production, and the emergence of arthropatic and amyloidogenic strains in some countries (Landman, 2014).

**Epidemiology and pathogenesis**

Mycoplasma synoviae can be found in eggs laid by infected breeders. Vertical (i.e. transovarial) transmission is not very efficient, as peak egg transmission from an infected breeder flock is low. If complicating factors are present, such as immune suppression, there may be a higher shed of the organism (Behbahan et al., 2005). Vertical transmission plays a major role in spreading of M. synoviae. When commercial breeder flocks become infected during egg production, egg-transmission appears to be higher in the first 6 weeks after infection. After the chicks are hatched, M. synoviae organisms are spread horizontally. Transmission occurs among birds by the aerosol route and by contamination of the feed and water. The entire flock may be infected at 3 weeks of age (Kleven, 2003). Horizontal transmission readily occurs by direct contact. In general, M. synoviae appears to spread more rapidly than M. gallisepticum. The former can be present in the respiratory tract of infected chickens for 4 weeks and during that time the spread between houses occurs (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Natural infection can be observed from the first week of age, but acute infection is more often seen when chickens are adult. This fact suggests that the incubation period can be relatively short, but it generally lasts for 11-21 days. Chronic infection may or may not follow the acute phase at any age and persist for the entire life of the flock (Kleven, 2003). Therefore, M. synoviae contaminated environment is a potential hazard to birds. Mycoplasma synoviae is also well known for its interactions with other infectious agents and environmental factors alike in producing clinical disease. Control of clinical manifestations is simplified when concurrent infections are minimized and optimal environmental conditions are provided. Respiratory infections are considerably
affected by environmental factors and disease severity is increased during the winter months. Temperature, ventilation, humidity, atmospheric ammonia and dust all have important interactions with infectious agents in producing respiratory disease (Landman, 2014). Atmospheric dust significantly increased the severity of airsac lesions, and chickens maintained at environmental temperatures of 7-10°C were more susceptible to airsacculitis caused by M. synoviae than chickens maintained at 24-29°C (Kleven, 2003). However, there have been relatively few studies on the influence of environmental factors on the severity of mycoplasma infections (Moreira et al., 2015b). Mycoplasmas also infect other domestic and wild avian species, so it is important to ensure they are not in contact with commercial chickens. Some data provide strong evidence that indirect transmission of Mycoplasma spp. via contaminated feeders occurs (Feberwee et al., 2005). Although M. synoviae can be transmitted via fomites, birds infected this way can quickly overcome mild disease and may on recovery be protected against more virulent infections acquired by direct bird-to-bird contact (Bebahan et al., 2005). Such indirect transmission is rather unexpected for wall-less bacteria, which are supposed to be sensitive to osmotic shock, heating or chemical treatments. However, M. synoviae may persist on feathers up to 2 or 3 days at room temperature and its high dissemination capacity has been demonstrated (Marois et al., 2005). Mycoplasmas are more likely to spread among farms by the mechanical route, which includes spread via contaminated equipment, shoes and other fomites (Kleven, 2003).

Mycoplasma synoviae most frequently occurs as a subclinical upper respiratory infection. It may cause airsac lesions when combined with other respiratory agents such as Newcastle disease virus (NDV), infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), or both (Landman, 2014). Other times, M. synoviae becomes systemic and results in infectious synovitis, an acute to chronic infectious disease of chickens and turkeys, primarily involving joint synovial membranes and tendon sheaths, and producing exudative synovitis, tenovaginitis, or bursitis (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Infectious sinusitis grossly distends infraorbital sinuses, with fibrin, heterophils, epithelial cell hyperplasia, and hypertrophy of mucous glands. Later, there is lymphocytic infiltrates in the lamina propria or nodular formation, and tracheitis and airsacculitis can occur (Kleven, 2003). The pathogenicity of M. synoviae generally involves attachment and colonization of the respiratory tract, and other additional factors like immunosuppression can produce systemic invasion and clinical signs.

**Clinical signs and lesions**

Common disease signs like pale comb, lameness and retarded growth are the first noticeable manifestations. Disease progression debilitates the bird that became ruffled, and swellings usually occur around joints, especially the hock and foot pads joints (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Airsacculites may occur in chickens infected via respiratory tract at any age. In recent years, the occurrence of arthropathic and amyloidogenic strains of M. synoviae, as well as strains that induce eggshell apex abnormalities and egg production losses, has increased (Feberwee et al., 2008). The progeny of M. synoviae infected breeders may have increased condemnation, poor conversion rates and poor weight gain. Morbidity varies from 2 to 75%, usually reaching 5 to 15%, and mortality ranges between 1 and 10%. As M. synoviae infection progresses, caseous exudates involve tendon sheaths and joints that became thinned over time and may evolve into the muscle and air sacs. In the respiratory form, airsacculitis may be seen (Kleven, 2003).

**Diagnosis, control, treatment and prevention**

Diagnosis is based on epidemiological data, clinical signs, and analysis of macroscopic lesions, specific serology, isolation and molecular characterization of M. synoviae. Monitoring must be part of control programs performed in breeder flocks and is mostly feasible by routine serology and PCR (Kleven, 2003). Serologic procedures are useful for flock monitoring in M. synoviae control programs and to aid in diagnosis when infection is suspected. A positive serologic test, together with history and signs typical of the disease, allows a presumptive diagnosis pending isolation and identification of the organisms (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2011). The tube agglutination test was a common procedure, especially during the M. gallisepticum control program for turkeys in the 1960s and 70s but is now rarely used. Serum plate agglutination (SPA) antigen for the detection of antibodies to M. synoviae is commercially available. Because the SPA test is quick, relatively inexpensive and sensitive, it has been widely used as an initial screening test for flock monitoring and sero-
diagnosis. However, nonspecific reactors occur in some flocks infected with *M. synoviae* due to cross-reactive antigens, or those recently vaccinated with oil-emulsion vaccines and/or vaccines of tissue-culture origin against various agents. The SPA test is highly efficient in detecting IgM antibodies, which are the first class of immunoglobulins produced in response to infection (Kleven, 2003). The hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test has been commonly used to confirm reactors detected by SPA or, more recently, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA). However, the HI test is time consuming, the reagents are not commercially available and the test may lack adequate sensitivity. ELISA assays were developed to increase testing efficiency and improve sensitivity and specificity of results compared to the SPA and HI tests. Commercial ELISA test kits are now commonly used for serodiagnosis and flock monitoring. In general, ELISA tests are slightly less sensitive but more specific than SPA tests; and less specific but more sensitive than HI tests (Kleven, 2003; Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Ewing et al. (1998) reported that the SPA test missed infected commercial layer and breeder flocks that were detected by ELISA. Further confirmation of serologic results may be made by isolation and identification of *M. synoviae* from the upper respiratory tract or by PCR (Carli and Eyigor, 2002; Ramirez et al., 2006). However, few laboratories are equipped for culturing this organism, as specific culture media are required. Techniques for the detection and analysis of DNA through PCR arise as a very interesting alternative diagnostic method, because they offer sensitivity, specificity, capability of performing exams on a large scale and economic viability nowadays (Hammond et al., 2011). The sensitivity observed in PCR is important for detection of pathogenic agents in clinical samples taken from subclinically infected animals or those undergoing antibiotics treatment. Furthermore, it is possible to detect a pathogenic agent even before the host’s immunologic response, or in hosts with immunedepression, which points out advantages over the serologic tests (Buim et al., 2009; Kempf, 1998).

The antibiotic treatment of breeders is not effective for the elimination of *M. synoviae*, although egg transmission level is reduced (Kleven, 2003). Macrolides like tylosin and tilmicosin and fluoroquinolones like enrofloxacin and difloxacin are among the antibiotic families most widely used in poultry in many countries (Gerchman et al., 2011), but *M. synoviae* is susceptible in vitro to several other antibiotics including chlorotetacycline, lincomycine, oxytetracycline, spectinomycine, tetracycline and tiamulin (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013) (Table 1). In the past, mycoplasmas eradication programs were based on antibiotic or heat treatment of fertile eggs, but more recently the intensive poultry industry relies heavily upon the application of vaccines for disease control (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2012). Vaccination programs are presently being used to control outbreaks of the more virulent strains of *M. synoviae* (Ferguson-Noel and Noormohammadi, 2013). Regarding the presence of mycoplasmas in breeder

### Table 1. Antimicrobial agents for treatment of mycoplasmosis in poultry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycoplasmosis</th>
<th>Type of activity</th>
<th>Side effects / recomendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st line-drugs</strong></td>
<td>Tiamulin</td>
<td>Bacteriostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd line-drugs</strong></td>
<td>Tetracyclines</td>
<td>Bacteriostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>Bacteriostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macrolides</td>
<td>Bacteriostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3rd line-drugs</strong></td>
<td>Enrofloxacin</td>
<td>Bactericidal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
farms, their concentration in some regions and the inexistence of adequate sanitary barriers that may enable the isolation of farms are predisposing factors for the disease dissemination. Other contributing factors are related to the resistance to antimicrobial treatments and to the immunologic system escape mechanisms that these pathogens make use of. The high \( M. synoviae \) occurrence in layer and breeder birds is probably due to the fact that vaccines are still not oftenly used (Kleven, 1998; McAuliffe et al., 2006). The primary objective for any poultry farm \( M. synoviae \) control is to prevent the introduction of the organism into a clean flock by use of a comprehensive biosafety program.

### Reovirus in broiler breeders

Reoviruses (a name derived from “respiratory enteric orphan” or REO) are members of the genus \textit{Orthoreovirus} in the Reoviridae family (Rosenberger, 2003). Found to be ubiquitous among poultry flocks, avian reoviruses (ARV) have been isolated frequently from the gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts of chickens affected by several pathological conditions, including viral arthritis or tenosynovitis, stunting syndrome, respiratory disease, enteric disease, immunosuppression, malabsorption syndrome or even inapparent infections (Jones, 2013).

Originally, the REO abbreviation was used to identify virus groups that were not associated with any known disease (Jones, 2013). Viral arthritis is an economically important disease of chickens that can be caused by different serotypes and pathotypes of ARV (Rosenberger, 2003). Tenosynovitis, defined by the changes in the tendons and their sheaths, can be considered different from the condition caused by \( M. synoviae \). Some reoviruses have an arthritropic characteristic that includes ruptured gastrocnemius tendons, pericarditis, myocarditis, hydropericardium, uneven growth and mortality (Jones, 2013).

Viral arthritis or tenosynovitis in poultry is one of the pathological manifestations of ARV infection (Rosenberger, 2003). The reoviruses can act alone as pathogenic agents or in combination with one or more other aetiological agents, such as \( M. synoviae \) or \textit{Staphylococcus} spp., and this situation can lead to varied clinical pictures of arthritis or tenosynovitis (Rosenberger, 2003). The reoviruses can be isolated from birds without any signs of disease, but they are also associated with a variety of problems including viral arthritis/tenosynovitis, enteric disease and malabsorption syndrome (Jones, 2013).

### Aetiology and economic importance

Reoviruses have a worldwide distribution in chicks but are more related to meat-type birds (Van der Heide, 1977). They are commonly found in the digestive and respiratory tracts of clinically normal chickens and turkeys. It is estimated that most of the reoviruses isolated from chickens are non-pathogenic. Several studies performed over the last years have revealed unique properties for ARV, different from those displayed by mammal viruses (Jones, 2013).

ARV, which replicate in the cytoplasm, are non-enveloped with an icosahedral symmetry and a double-shelled capsid and are one of the few non-enveloped viruses that cause cell to fuse (Xu and Coombsa, 2009). This specific genome segments responsible for protein coding have been identified for the S1133 strain of ARV and differentiate them phylogenetically from most other animal reoviruses (Day, 2009). Another interest characteristic of the ARV is that they are known to induce apoptosis in infected cells (Benavente and Martinez-Costas, 2007).

Avian reoviruses infections are of economic importance to the poultry industry (Savage and Jones, 2003). In meat-type chickens, economic losses are frequently associated with \textit{reovirus} infections. Increased mortality, viral arthritis/tenosynovitis and a general lack of performance are among the observed problems (Jones, 2013). Breeder flocks that develop viral arthritis just prior to the onset of or during egg production may, in addition to lameness, be affected by increased mortality, decreased egg production, suboptimal hatch-ability/fertility and vertical transmission of the virus to progeny.

Infectious viral arthritis is currently the best defined and most readily diagnosed reovirosis (Rosenberger, 2003).

### Epidemiology and pathogenesis

Reoviruses can be classified using serologic procedures or grouped according to their virulence. There are five serotypes of reoviruses from 77 isolates from intestines, respiratory tract and synovial isolates (Day,
route of exposure (footpad inoculation, intramuscular, intravenous) (Jones, 2013). Very often, infections are unapparent and demonstrable only by serology or virus isolation (Jones, 2013).

The virus frequently locates in the flexor and extensor tendons of the pelvic limb and is commonly seen in young birds (1-2 months). Mortality is usually low, but morbidity can be as high as 100%. Avian reoviruses possess group-specific antigen and serotype-specific antigen. Host’s humoral immunity (neutralizing antibodies) can be detected 7-10 days following infection. The presence of neutralizing antibodies and its importance in establishing protection is not well defined yet. Birds may become persistently infected in the presence of high levels of circulating antibodies. It is apparent, however, that maternal antibodies can afford a degree of protection to day-old chickens against naturally occurring and experimental challenges. From several studies, the suppression of T-cell-mediated immunity by cyclosporin A resulted in increased mortality in reovirus-infected birds, but the relative severity of tendon lesions was not altered. Antibody protection is related to serotype homogeneity, virulence, host age and antibody titer (Grande et al., 2002; Jones, 2013; Rosenberger, 2003). For cell mediated immunity, the CD8+ T-cells may play a role in pathogenesis and/or reovirus clearance in the small intestine. Some authors have shown that challenging viruses are controlled in the absence of actively produced antibodies in B-cell immunosuppressed chicks (Day, 2009). This suggests that cellular immunity may be sufficient for broiler protection (Jones, 2013).

Clinical signs and lesions

In an acute infection, lameness is generally present and some chickens are atrophied (Crespo and Shivaprasad, 2011). In chronic infection, lameness is even more pronounced, but the percentage of infected chickens is small. Lameness in this type of lesions is due to enlargement in the area of the gastrocnemius or digital flexor tendons. In general, the rupture of the gastrocnemius tendon is noticeable (Figure 4). The swelling of the digital flexor and metatarsal extensor tendons is the more pronounced macroscopic lesion. Swelling of the foot pad and hock joint is less frequent, being marked by the edema of the tarsal and metatarsal tendon. Some petechial hemorrhages are frequent in the
synovial membranes (Jones, 2013; Rosenberger, 2003). In chronic infection, inflammation of tendon areas progresses, tendon sheaths become hard and they fuse in some cases. In early infection, recovery is quick, but very often the tendon rupture occurs at transfer (Crespo and Shivaprasad, 2011).

In terms of microscopic lesions, the basic picture is edema, coagulation necrosis, accumulation of heterophilic material and perivascular infiltration. There is also hypertrophy and hyperplasia of synovial cells, infiltration of lymphocytes and macrophages, and a proliferation of reticular cells (Hill et al., 1989). Lesions are strongly time-dependent and changes have been found in the type and number of positively staining cells. The synovial membranes develop villous processes during the chronic phase and lymphoid nodules are present. When the process becomes further chronic, the inflammatory picture changes, the amount of fibrous connective tissue increases, and a pronounced infiltration or proliferation of reticular cells, lymphocytes, macrophages and plasma cells can also be seen. Irregular granulation tissues replace some tendons, and large villi appear on the synovial membranes (Jones, 2013).

**Diagnosis, control, treatment and prevention**

A presumptive diagnosis of viral arthritis can be made on the basis of signs and lesions. Primary involvement of the metatarsal extensor and digital flexor tendons, and heterophil infiltration in the heart, assist in differentiating the infection from bacterial and mycoplasmal synovitis (Jones, 2013). Different diagnostic methods are available: fluorescent antibody techniques, virus isolation, typical physicochemical characteristics and the presence of a group-specific antigen demonstrable with the agar gel precipitin test. The immunoperoxidase procedures can be used, but they are not the first choice (Rosenberger, 2003).

Serology for reoviruses is routinely used, being based on group-specific antibodies that can be detected readily by the agar gel precipitin test or by indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT). In more recent years, ELISA for detecting antibodies to avian reoviruses along with PCR has become more common (Bruhn et al., 2005).

The ubiquitous nature of the avian reoviruses and their inherent stability, coupled with modern, high-density confinement rearing practices, suggests that elimination of virus exposure may be difficult (Jones, 2013). Resistance to inactivation may be frequently carried by mechanical means like brooding temperatures. Commercially available disinfectants should be validated for efficacy before use, because of the avian reovirus group relative stability (Rosenberger, 2003).

Chickens are most susceptible to pathogenic reoviruses at 1 day of age and then develop an age-associated resistance from as early as 2 weeks (Kerr and Olson, 1964). Vaccines and vaccination programs have evolved and can provide protection at 1 day of age onwards. Active immunization can be achieved by vaccination with viable attenuated reoviruses, which are usually applied by the subcutaneous route (Giambrone and Clay, 1986). Reovirus vaccination of breeding stock can be carried out with live attenuated or inactivated vaccines (Table 2). The latter are more effective when preceded by vaccination with a live vaccine. If a live vaccine is used, it should be administered prior to the onset of egg production, to prevent transovarian transmission of the vaccine virus (Jones, 2000). The advantages of this type of immunization program include immediate protection of the day-old progeny as provided by maternal antibodies (Jones, 2013). Vaccination of breeders is an effective method of controlling viral arthritis and other pathogenic reoviruses, but it should be recognized that protection is assured against homologous serotypes only (Rosenberger, 2003).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The poultry industry is constantly under development, especially at the broiler breeder level. The reviewed infectious diseases are those which currently

---

**Table 2. List of vaccines approved in the European Union.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Vaccine strain</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avipro Reo</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>Lhomann-AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobilis Reo 1133</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>MSD-AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobilis Reo 2177</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td>MSD-AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobilis Reo inac</td>
<td>1733 and 2408</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>MSD-AH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pose the greatest difficulties to the business operators, due to the lack of updated knowledge, the issues sensitivity, their indirect impacts (chick’s quality), and difficult resolution. At the broiler breeder level, the locomotor problems have been identified as an important emerging factor. *Mycoplasma synoviae* and *Reovirus* are the major infectious causes of their emergence. Another important related factor is the improvement of poultry genetic strains seen in recent years. Birds have now better performances which are reflected in their metabolism and structure. The industry itself has grown tremendously, with increased number of birds, multi-age farms and larger structures. The vertical transmission characteristic of these agents and chick’s quality is currently a major key point in the poultry business.

The economic impact of *Reovirus* in broiler breeders has been a subject of controversy. Nevertheless, economic losses may increase every day, including leg problems. This fact raises awareness among the poultry community all over the world. If vertical transmission of the agent is considered, costs to poultry producers might further increase.

Although very strict hygiene rules are being implemented, poultry farms built in the latest 10 years have been designed to keep in mind an economical perspective. Disease prevention is very rarely a primary consideration in the modern poultry industry. A consequence is that farms have grown in size and density, and an optimal environment has been generated for agents such as *M. synoviae* to thrive.

Intervention strategies as management and biosecurity procedures are necessary to reduce economic losses due to *M. synoviae* and *Reovirus*. Parent stock should be free from *M. synoviae* and if this is not possible managers should trace specific positive breeder flock and their progeny should be hatched separately. Antibiotic medication is available but is not thought to eliminate *M. synoviae*. Reoviruses are very resistant to inactivation and may frequently be carried by mechanical means. Cleaning and disinfection practices should be taken into attention with special emphasis on iodine solutions. *M. synoviae* vaccines are commercially available, both inactivated or live vaccines, and appear to be safe and effective. Vaccinating the parent stock with *Reovirus* vaccines provide chicks with good levels of maternal antibodies and will minimize reoviruses from reaching the joints. Both inactivated vaccines (mainly 1133, 1733 and 2408 strains) and attenuated vaccines (1133 and 2177 common strains) are available for immunization of breeders and broilers, and this is an effective method of controlling viral arthritis.

Poultry industry will face important challenges in the coming years. The increase in world human population, the shortage of food and water, will put poultry in the top priorities in the livestock sector. Chick’s quality will represent an increasingly important issue over the years. Locomotion problems and the demand for high quality chicks will be ongoing challenges, and a focus on these issues should be prioritized. Only with quality chicks will be possible to achieve better performances in the present and in the future. Knowledge of the mentioned emerging problems in broiler breeders together with new strategic views will be key points to success.
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